

# FISCAL NOTE

**Bill #:** HB0042

**Title:** Require wildlife management in sustainable manner

**Primary Sponsor:** Barrett, D

**Status:** Third Reading

Sponsor signature	Date	Chuck Swysgood, Budget Director	Date
-------------------	------	---------------------------------	------

## Fiscal Summary

	<b><u>FY 2004 Difference</u></b>	<b><u>FY 2005 Difference</u></b>
<b>Expenditures:</b>		
State Special Revenue	\$246,442	\$165,942
<b>Revenue:</b>		
State Special Revenue	\$0	\$0
<b>Net Impact on General Fund Balance:</b>	\$0	\$0

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Local Gov. Impact    | <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Concerns                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Included in the Executive Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Long-Term Impacts           |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated Revenue Form Attached  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Needs to be included in HB 2 |

## Fiscal Analysis

### ASSUMPTIONS:

1. As amended by the Senate Finance and Claims Committee, landowners will be surveyed to determine tolerance for wildlife on their properties. This will be the basis for establishing deer, elk and antelope populations.
2. Based on agriculture statistics, there are about 23,000 agricultural landowners in the state, not including residential landowners.
3. The survey costs per landowner will be \$3.50 for a total cost of \$80,500.
4. Habitat acreages for deer, elk and antelope will be developed with GIS mapping and ownership. This will require a 0.50 FTE technician (grade 12) and a 0.10 FTE programmer (grade 15)
5. Management plans for deer, elk and antelope will be developed or amended to reflect new criteria. This will require 2.00 FTE planning specialists (grade 15) and 1.00 FTE administrative assistant (grade 10). Each position will need \$4,000 in operations annually
6. After further review, FWP staff members have concluded that this process will trigger MEPA because of the need to provide for public comment on the appropriate biological methods to determine the numbers and the actions required to manage deer, elk and antelope at sustainable levels.
7. Each EIS will cost \$30,000 and four EIS plans will be required (mule deer, white tail deer, elk and antelope).

**Fiscal Note Request HB0042, Third Reading**

(continued)

8. The calculation of available habitat, as required in Section 2, will be completed after the MEPA process is complete. Therefore, the first report to the public will be made October 1, 2005.
9. It is possible that additional license revenue may be generated through the sales of additional licenses pursuant to the management provisions of the plans. However, the potential additional revenue is not estimable.
10. The FWP Commission will utilize its current season process for implementation of the bill.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

	FY 2004	FY 2005
<b>FWP Program 05 Wildlife</b>	<b><u>Difference</u></b>	<b><u>Difference</u></b>
FTE	3.60	3.60
 <b><u>Expenditures:</u></b>		
Personal Services	\$93,942	\$93,942
Operating Expenses	<u>152,500</u>	<u>72,000</u>
TOTAL	\$246,442	\$165,942
 <b><u>Funding of Expenditures:</u></b>		
State Special Revenue (02)	\$246,442	\$165,942
 <b><u>Revenues:</u></b>		
State Special Revenue (02)	\$0	\$0
 <b><u>Net Impact to Fund Balance (Revenue minus Funding of Expenditures):</u></b>		
State Special Revenue (02)	(\$246,442)	(\$165,942)